IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.PADMANABHAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 27TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 6TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 29735 of 2006(M)

PETITIONERS:
---

1. P.D. JOSE, S/O. P.M.DEVASSY,
MANAGING PARTNER, EDAPPANA AGRO MILLS,
OKKAL PANCHAYAT, EAST OKKAL, PERUMBAVOOR.

2. K.O. THOMAS, S/O.K.V.OUSEPH,
MANAGING PARTNER, EDAPPANA RICE MILLS,
OKKAL PANCHAYAT, EAST OKKAL, PERUMBAVOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.M.ABDUL AZIZ (SR.)
SRI.M.A.ABDUL HAKHIM

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,PERUMBAVOOR.

2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,PERUMBAVOOR.

3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
PERUMBAVOOR.

4. JOY, S/O. RAPHEL,
POTTOLY HOUSE, OKKAL P.O., PERUMBAVOOR.

R1 TO R3 BY G.P.SRI.P.A.SALIM
BY ADV. SRI.SREEPRAKASH K.NAIR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C)NO.29735/2006

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: A PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONERS TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 9.11.2006.

EXT.P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.7045/1997 FILED BY THE 1ST PETITIONER FOR POLICE PROTECTION DATED 25.4.1997.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:

EXT.R4(a): TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION TO REVENUE MINISTER DATED 13.10.06.

EXT.R4(b): TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION TO R.D.O. MUVATTUPUZHA DATED 25.10.06.

EXT.R4(c): ATRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY VILLAGE OFFICER, CHELAMATTAM DATED 19.10.06

EXT.R4(d): TRUE COPY OF RECEIPT ISSUED BY CIRCLE INSPECTOR DATED 31.10.06.

// TRUE COPY //

TKS

J.B.KOSHY & K.PADMANABHAN NAIR, JJ.

W.P.(C)NO.29735 OF 2006

Dated 27th November, 2006

JUDGMENT

Koshy,J.

This is a petition for police protection. It is contended that fourth respondent and his friends are causing obstructions in functioning the rice mill of the petitioners. It is submitted that the fourth respondent is a taxi car driver. Counsel for the fourth respondent submitted that he is not causing any obstruction to the functioning of the rice mill. That is recorded. It is also submitted that under the guise of this police protection, petitioners are trying to fill up paddy fields. It is submitted by the petitioners that they have no such intention. That is also recorded. In the above circumstances, police is directed to see that law and order is maintained and lives of both parties are not endangered and if the petitioners are not filling up the paddy fields, police should see that functioning of the rice mill is not obstructed.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

J.B.KOSHY
JUDGE

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR
JUDGE

tks